PhD Dissertation Defense

Instructions for faculty committees

The following rubrics were developed by a subcommittee of the PhD in Education & Human Development faculty, reviewed and adopted by the faculty in May 2019.

These rubrics define what the faculty expect of candidates in relation to the student learning outcomes (SLO's) associated with the Dissertation Proposal and the final Dissertation. The rubrics are a significant portion of our program assessment scheme, which is being developed in accordance with program needs, university and HLC guidelines.

The scoring guide should be completed by the candidate's faculty committee, reviewed by the committee with the candidate, and submitted to Scott Bauer <u>directly after the proposal or final defense meeting</u> (not after required revisions or based on final submission). This will provide us with formative data for program improvement. As guidance, please consider the following when scoring:

- Since our scores of 2 and 3 are labeled "met" and "fully met," this roughly equates to "pass" with minor revisions in terms of the Graduate School rating form. The candidate will not be asked to participate in another defense meeting with the committee.
- A rating of "1" partially met is roughly equivalent to "pass with conditions," generally requiring considerable revision. At the committee's discretion, the corrected proposal or dissertation may be required for review by either the primary advisor alone, or the entire committee. Also at the committee's discretion, another defense meeting maybe required, though this would be untypical.
- A rating of "0" not met requires major revision to the document and another defense meeting.

Each committee has the discretion to provide the summative judgment of its choice on the Graduate School rating form based on a holistic assessment of the document and meeting.

PhD Program Goal:

To prepare candidates to serve as scholars and researchers who will in turn prepare researchers and future scholars for institutions of higher education (IHEs) or organizations focused on research.

Student learning objectives: Graduates of the PhD in Education & Human Development program will be able to...

- 1 Apply theories of learning and development to understand fundamental questions involving education, communities, and/or families
- 2 Identify and analyze an issue related to equity
- 3 Apply a critical lens to interrogate existing research and theoretical perspectives
- 4 Critically apply theories, methods, and knowledge to address questions in their primary field
- 5 Demonstrate skills and knowledge at a level required for college and university teaching
- 6 Plan and conduct research of significance
- 7 Demonstrate skills in oral and written communication sufficient to publish and present work in their field or prepare grant proposals

Dissertation Defense Evaluation Rubric

Component	SLO	Fully met (3)	Met (2)	Partially Met (1)	Not met (0)
Dissertation overview Score:	2	Effectively develops a set of researchable, supportable and potentially impactful study questions / hypotheses. The document is well organized and maintains a tightly integrated focus throughout the manuscript.	Manuscript includes a set of researchable and supportable questions / hypotheses. The manuscript is largely organized and the focus of the manuscript is generally maintained.	Manuscript develops a set of questions / hypotheses, but lacks a clear organizational structure and cohesive focus; some sections are clearly organized and focused and others are not.	Questions / hypotheses are not researchable or justifiable. Focus of the manuscript is hard to follow; lacks organizational structure.
CF	4	Clearly identifies	Begins to identify	The conceptual framework is	A conceptual framework
	4	or develops a conceptual model	or develop a conceptual model	evident but needs	grounded in the

Component	SLO	Fully met (3)	Met (2)	Partially Met (1)	Not met (0)
Score:		that defines	that defines	to be further	literature is
		constructs studied	constructs studied	grounded in the	undeveloped or
		and their	and their	relevant	missing
		purported	purported	knowledge base.	
		relationships. Framework is used	relationships. Framework could		
		to ground the	usefully ground the		
		study within what	study within what		
		is already known	is already known		
		and helps establish	and help establish		
		what the present	the significance of		
		study will contribute to the	the study, but these connections		
		knowledge base	require		
		and/or practice.	elaboration.		
		этэ, от ртавия			
		Provides a	Provides a	Fails to cite	Provides little or no
Review of		substantial synthesis of	meaningful summary of the	important or relevant	relevant scholarship.
relevant		literature from	literature. Shows	scholarship.	scrioiarsilip.
research	3	related fields.	understanding of	Misinterprets	
		Places work within	relevant literature	research findings.	
Score:		larger context.			
		Identifies and	Identifies	Many details of	The methodologies
		justifies	appropriate	method and/or	described are
		appropriate methodologies and	methodologies and research	justification are missing or vague,	either not suited or poorly suited to
		research	techniques to	as are descriptions	test questions /
		techniques and	answer research	of adjustments to	hypotheses. The
		describes fully how	question(s) but	the research plan	methodology is
		and why the	arguments for	since proposal	under-developed or
		method enacted	justification and	and/or description of limitations.	required significant
Methodology		differed from the method proposed.	suitability are not adequately	of limitations.	adjustments that are not presented
Wicthodology		Research plan	present.		or were not done.
	6	enacted was	Description of how		
Score:		suitable to study	and why the		
		purposes.	method enacted		
		Limitations of the method are clear.	was adjusted from the method		
		memou are clear.	proposed requires		
			elaboration or		
			clarification.		
			Limitation are		
			presented but		
			require elaboration.		
Results section	6	The results clearly	The results	The results section	Methods are
				i e	

Component	SLO	Fully met (3)	Met (2)	Partially Met (1)	Not met (0)
		questions and the	consistent with	developed or	test research
Score:		research	questions and the	incomplete.	question(s) or
		conducted.	data analytic plan.	Results are not	results are
		Evidence provided	Evidence is	always described	discussed
		fully addresses the	presented but	accurately or	incorrectly or
		research	relationships between evidence	certain analyses that were	inappropriately.
		question(s), is well integrated and	and questions is	expected were not	
		discussed. Results	sometimes unclear	done or presented.	
		are discussed	or evidence is	done of presented.	
		thoroughly and	insufficient to		
		accurately.	address all		
		,	research		
			question(s).		
		Provides an	Adequately	The integration of	No integration of
		integration of the	integrates the	the findings within	the findings within
		findings within the	findings within the	the extant	the extant
		extant literature. Clarifies how the	extant literature.	literature is	literature. Fails to
		findings and	Addresses how the findings and	superficial or incomplete. Poorly	attend to design strengths and
Discussion		research questions	research questions	justifies the	weaknesses.
section		/ hypotheses	/ hypotheses	strengths,	weakiiesses.
	4	advance the field.	advance the field.	weaknesses, and	
		Accurately	Attends to issues	limitations of the	
Score:		addresses	of strengths,	current study.	
		strengths,	weaknesses, and		
		weaknesses, and	limitations of the		
		limitations of the	current study.		
		current study and			
		implications for future research			
		The manuscript is	The manuscript is	The manuscript	The manuscript is
		well structured	well structured but	requires	poorly written and
		with clear	requires revision	substantial	confusing. Ideas are
		transitions and	to provide clearer	revision to provide	not communicated
		flow of thought.	transitions and	effective structure,	effectively.
		Sentences and	flow of thought.	transitions and	Formatting and/or
Clarity,		paragraphs are	The writing is	flow of thought.	references need
organization,		grammatically	grammatically	The manuscript	considerable
grammar and		correct. Uses subheadings	correct with only occasional errors,	contains frequent grammatical errors	revision.
references	7	appropriately.	but some sections	and/or many	
		Manuscript	lack clarity.	sections lack	
		complies with	Manuscript	clarity. Manuscript	
Score:		formatting	complies with	needs some	
		requirements of	formatting	updating to	
		the Graduate	requirements of	comply with	
		School and	the Graduate	formatting	
		properly cites	School and	requirements of	
		references.	properly cites	the Graduate	
			references.	School. Citations	

Component	SLO	Fully met (3)	Met (2)	Partially Met (1)	Not met (0)
		Reference list matches citations Presentation	Reference list matches citations with few omissions.	and/or reference list need editing. Presentation	Presentation
Oral presentation and response to questions	7	provides a thorough and clear overview of the proposed study. Candidate listens carefully, is open to critique, seeks to understand queries and responds fully to questions.	describes the study but clarifying questions are necessary to fully understand the study. Candidate is responsive to questions, but is at times closed to critique or fails to seek understanding.	provides an overview of the proposed study but lacks detail on important aspects. Candidate is unresponsive to some questions or fails to seek understanding on suggestions for improvement.	provides only a cursory overview of the proposed study or lacks significant details. Candidate is unresponsive to question and closed to critique or suggestions.

General comments, recommendations:

PhD Dissertation Defense Scoring Report

Candidate name:	Date of defense:
Primary advisor :	Meeting chair:
Rating scale: Fully m	net (3) Met (2) Partially Met (1) Not met (0)
Component	Notes
Dissertation overview	
Score:	
CF	
Score:	
Review of relevant research	
Score:	
Methodology	
Score:	
Results section	
Score:	
Discussion section	
Score:	

Component	Notes
Clarity,	
organization,	
grammar and	
references	
Score:	
Oral	
presentation and	
response to	
questions	
Score:	

Revision requirements:

Additional comments: